

<p><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> <b>Application No.</b>  10/596,042 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> <b>Applicant(s)</b>  BOUDRY ET AL </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <b>Examiner</b>  David E. Bochna </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <b>Art Unit</b>  3679 </td> </tr> </table>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/596,042	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOUDRY ET AL	<b>Examiner</b> David E. Bochna	<b>Art Unit</b> 3679
<b>Application No.</b> 10/596,042	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOUDRY ET AL				
<b>Examiner</b> David E. Bochna	<b>Art Unit</b> 3679				

  

**All Participants:**

(1) David E. Bochna.

(2) Norman Soloway.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

60, 78-79

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed making changes to claims 60 and 79 in order to place the case in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached and the changes were incorporated via an Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.